Bundesanstalt für Materialforschung und –prüfung, Federal Institute of Materials Research and Testing

Fachgruppe VI.3: "Analyse und Struktur von Polymeren" Department VI.3: "Analysis and Structure of Polymers"



Plasmachemical synthesis of polymeric model surfaces

R. Mix, G. Kühn, J. Friedrich



Introduction

Basic problem of metal-polymer composites:

Poor adhesion of metals to polymers

Reason: absence of functional groups at polymer surfaces



Solution of the problem in two ways: Improvement of

adhesion in Al-PP composites





Plasmafunctionalization of polymer surfaces

Deposition of adhesion-promoting function-carrying plasma polymer layers



Differences in polymer functionalization and its effect on the adhesion

Types of surface functionalization for improving the adhesion to metals



concentration (c) x respective type of bond strength (ω) is related to adhesion $W = A \cdot L \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i \cdot \omega_i$

 $W = A \cdot L \cdot \sum c_x \omega_x$



Uniform polymer surface functionalization by different types of functional groups



Variation of the density of functional groups at polymer surfaces

Using the (pulsed) plasma technique monotype functionalized polymer surfaces with adjustable density of functional groups can be produced (plasma initiated chemical copolymerization)



Experimental Plasma-assisted production of functionalized polymer surfaces

Reactor used for pulsed plasma polymerization / copolymerization and in situ metallization Representative RF plasma conditions: Duty cycle: 0.1 Pulse duration: 1 ms Wattage: 100 W / 300W (10 / 30 W_{eff}) Pressure: 10-26 Pa



Gasplasma modification (oxygen plasma)

Representative RF plasma conditions: Pressure: 6 Pa, Wattage: 10-100 W, Time: 0.1- 30 s



Experimental

Manufactoring of metal-polymer composites



Experimental Measuring of metal peel strength deposited onto functionalized polymer surfaces



Adhesion-promoting pulsed plasma polymers Homopolymers



Pulsed plasma-initiated (radical) homo-polymerization of functional groups-carrying monomers

Al-polymer composite

aluminium plasma homopolymer polypropylene



Level of unspecific O₂ plasma pretreatment

Adhesion-promoting pulsed plasma polymers Copolymers

Pulsed plasma-initiated (radical) copolymerization of functional groups-carrying and "chain-extending" comonomers



Adhesion-promoting pulsed plasma polymers Copolymers with COOH groups

Plasma-induced radical copolymerization of butadiene and acrylic acid



Deposition rate vs. composition of precursor

Concentration of COOH vs. composition of precursor



Adhesion-promoting pulsed plasma polymers Copolymers with OH groups Plasma-induced radical copolymerization of allylalcohol / butadiene

deposition rate [nm/min] 0 7 7 9 8 01 **Copolymerization region** OH-groups/100 C-Atoms Allyl alcohol / butadiene 1000Hz, DuCy 0,1, 100W mole% allyl alcohol mole% allyl alcohol in precursor deposition rate [nm/min] 30-Allyl alcohol / butadiene OH-groups/100 C-Atoms copolymerization region 1000Hz, DuCy 0,1, 300W mole% allyl alcohol mole% allyl alcohol in precursor Deposition rate vs. composition Concentration of OH vs. of precursor quartz microbalance composition of precursor XPS

G TA

Adhesion-promoting pulsed plasma polymers Copolymers with OH groups

Plasma-induced radical copolymerization of allylalcohol / ethylene



Deposition rate vs. composition of precursor quartz microbalance Concentration of OH vs. composition of precursor XPS

Adhesion-promoting pulsed plasma polymers Surface energy of OH functionalized layers



Surface energy, dispersive and polar component of allyl alcohol-butadiene copolymers (100W) vs. composition of the precursor mixture

E TA



the precursor

copolymerization allvl alcohol/butadien

100W

70 deposition of "pure"

energy [mN/m]

urface

polar contribution of

60

50

40

30

20 10 outadiene polymers

14

Adhesion-promoting pulsed plasma polymers FTIR of OH functionalized layers



FTIR spectra of copolymers allyl alcohol / butadiene (100W) on PE in dependence on the composition of the precursor mixture



Comparison of XPS and IR results of the yield in OH groups in dependence on the composition of the precursor mixture

Metal peel strength of AI-PP composites using NH₂ groups- carrying adhesion-promoting plasma polymers





Metal peel strength of AI-PP composites using OH groups-carrying adhesion-promoting plasma polymers



Copolymers ethylene / allyl alcohol 1000Hz, DuCy 0,1, 100W



Metal peel strength of AI-PP composites Analysis of peeled AI and polymer surfaces



Metal peel strength of AI-PP composites using COOH groups-carrying adhesion-promoting plasma polymers





Metal peel strength of AI-PP composites Analysis of peeled AI and polymer surfaces



Metal peel strength of AI-PP composites **Scheme**

unspecific functionalization of polymer surfaces

monotype functionalization by deposition of adhesion-promoting interlayers

21



Metal peel strength of AI-PP composites Adhesion-promoting effect of monotype functional groups towards AI deposits



Summary

- The formation of **pulsed plasma** polymers of allyl and also acrylic monomers is dominated by the **plasma-initiated (plasma-on) gas-phase radical polymerization** (**plasma-off).** This method offers the possibility to produce monotype functionalized adhesion-promoting interlayers.
- Pulsed plasma polymerized allyl alcohol, allylamine and acrylic acid showed a degree of retained functional groups of 55-90% as: 30 OH, 18 NH₂ and 24 COOH per 100 C atoms
- In the same way a plasma-initiated radical **copolymerisation** could be realized. The density of the functional groups was differing significantly from "plasma copolymerisation" by simple mixing of monomers.
- Composites of polypropylene coated with a 150 nm thick plasma polymer layer fitted with OH, NH₂ or COOH groups and then evaporated with AI show different peel strengths:

COOH groups give the **highest peel strength**, followed by **OH groups**. NH₂ and CH₂-CH₂ groups were without any adhesion- promoting effect as assumed.

• The **limit of the peel strength increase** is reached when one component of the complete metall-polymer composite is going to change to a **weak boundary layer**. In the case of **allyl alcohol** copolymers this seems to be the pure allyl alcohol layer, which has only a small cohesive strength, in the case of COOH groups this is the polypropylene layer itsself.

BAM Acknowledgements: This work was financed by VDI under grant 13N7776

23